From: Eric Knudstrup
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/23/02 3:15pm
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

I find the current draft of the Microsoft settlement to be grossly in the defendant's favor.

The items that concern me most are:

- 1. The current settlement prohibits competitors from using the proposed release of API documentation. Use of the APIs should be completely open. The current definition of API is too narrowly defined.
- 2. The term "Windows" is used too narrowly.
- 3. The current settlement fails to prohibit Microsoft from inserting intentional compatibilities into its software.
- 4. The current judgment allows Microsoft to retaliate against OEMs who install operating systems other than Microsofts
- 5. From Dan Kegels comments: Microsoft's enterprise license agreements (used by large companies, state governments, and universities) charge by the number of computers which could run a Microsoft operating system -- even for computers running competing operating systems such as Linux! (Similar licenses to OEMs were once banned by the 1994 consent decree.)
- 6. ALL network APIs and protocols should be released to the public with no restrictions
- 7. All application file formats should be released to the public with no restrictions

Thank you,

Eric Knudstrup 12810 Lantana Ave Saratoga, Ca 95070